Who Built The Moon?
The authors of Civilization One return, bringing new evidence about the Moon that will shake up our world. Christopher Knight and Alan Butler realized that the ancient system of geometry they presented in their earlier, breakthrough study works as perfectly for the Moon as it does the Earth. They found a consistent sequence of integer numbers that they can apply to every major aspect of the Moon; no such pattern emerges for any other planet or moon in the solar system. In addition, Knight and Butler discovered that the Moon possesses few or no heavy metals and has no core; something that should not be possible. Their persuasive conclusion: if higher life only developed on Earth because the Moon is exactly what it is and where it is, it becomes unreasonable to cling to the idea that the Moon is a natural object; an idea with profound implications.

**Synopsis**

The authors of Civilization One return, bringing new evidence about the Moon that will shake up our world. Christopher Knight and Alan Butler realized that the ancient system of geometry they presented in their earlier, breakthrough study works as perfectly for the Moon as it does the Earth. They found a consistent sequence of integer numbers that they can apply to every major aspect of the Moon; no such pattern emerges for any other planet or moon in the solar system. In addition, Knight and Butler discovered that the Moon possesses few or no heavy metals and has no core; something that should not be possible. Their persuasive conclusion: if higher life only developed on Earth because the Moon is exactly what it is and where it is, it becomes unreasonable to cling to the idea that the Moon is a natural object; an idea with profound implications.
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**Customer Reviews**

Coincidence that the moon’s diameter is exactly 400 times smaller than that of the Sun?
Coincidence that the one second arc of the Moon’s rotation is exactly 100 Megalithic Yards?
Coincidence that the Moon exactly blocks the Sun’s disk during a solar eclipse so that the Sun’s corona can be studied? Without which much of Einstein’s work would not have been confirmed. By the way the Moon is also 1/400 of the distance from the Sun which Isaac Asimov described as being ‘the most unlikely of coincidences’. That the Moon is almost exactly 1/4 the size in diameter of the earth (which makes it the largest moon for a planet this size) and without its precise position at present, life as we know it would not have happened? Too many coincidences to accept as pure
chance for me. And the fact that so many of the relationships can be expressed in whole rounded integers (within 99% accuracy) in the metric system which we have blindly accepted as of modern origin which it apparently is not. All in all, an exciting and easy read even for this non mathematician. The authors conclude that the moon is not a coincidence of blind nature and offer several (3) answers, which they wisely do not force upon us. The most important thing is that they do force us to think a bit more about what we have accepted blindly for centuries just because it seemed to fit the science of the times. Just as the ‘flat earth’ did before it. Two criticisms: 1. The book cover, and several areas in the book state that the Moon is 1/400 the size of the moon. This should have read as the ‘diameter’ of the moon’s disk and the Sun’s disk for clarity. 2. Page 41 last paragraph states that the Moon’s circumference in Megalithic Yards was divided INTO the total of seconds of arc in the earths orbit.

Hey, Mr. Gillis, aka “Real Scientist”: I’ve gone to school, done Graduate work, and have become a doctor. So along the way I have indeed taken quite a few courses on physics, astronomy and the like. I’ve also always been interested in space travel, astrophysics, and geology personally. The one thing I can say, unequivically, is that my "formal education" has not only not satisfactorily answered many of the big questions, but it is often in error when answering many of the small questions. Once you achieve a good grasp of the subject matter, it becomes painfully obvious that the most revered, most popular theories, are not proven in all circumstances. There has to be some revision, at the very least, which science is loath to undergo. Our moon is a good example. The mainstream explanation of the history, geology, and physics of the moon, in my view, is far more comical and outlandish that any put forth here by Chris Knight. He may not be totally correct either, but his ideas are moving us towards a much more workable theory given the data. What I do find rather ironic of Mr. Knight’s position, is that as a self proclaimed quasi-expert on the moon, he absolutely dismisses the various controversies of the Apollo missions, landings and sample collections which are so crucial in framing his controversial theory. He often takes NASA data and press releases at face value, which is a very risky practice, given NASA’s track record of cover-ups, half truths, fraud, collusion, and corruption. An excellent book on these issues is, DARK MOON, which goes more in depth and is more meticulous in its research than Knight’s book.

Who Built the Moon? follows the authors’ previous book Civilization One, which itself was a sequel of sorts to Christopher Knight and Robert Lomas’s Uriel’s Machine. In those two books, the authors developed the idea (successfully, in my opinion) that Europe’s Neolithic civilization had devised a
highly sophisticated method of spatial and temporal measurement by using a pendulum and observations of the movement of the planet Venus. (A similar method was apparently used by the Sumerians as well.) They present the continuation of their research along those lines in this volume. Now, it has to be said: the title is pretty bad, and doesn't really do the book justice. I wouldn't be surprised if it turns off many readers from even picking up the book. The only reason I did is because I enjoyed the previous books so much. So what do they mean? Well, in the course of their research they found some facts that pretty much defy explanation; specifically, these relate to the distances, sizes, and ratios between the Earth/Moon/Sun system, using said Neolithic system of measurement. The correspondences between just two solar system bodies might conceivably be coincidence, but three kind of boggles my mind. And it's just those three (the most essential for human life); no other three show such correspondences. This doesn't leave Knight and Butler with many options.
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